

Procedural Deadline A Submission

Pauline Lifton & David – Interested Party (EN020027 – Norwich to Tilbury)

[REDACTED], Little Bentley, Colchester, Essex [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] theliftons.com

Procedural-only comments under Rule 6

1. I do not wish to speak at the Preliminary Meeting or at OFH2

I confirm that I do **not** request a speaking slot at the Preliminary Meeting on 10 February 2026, nor at Open Floor Hearing 2 (Essex) on 12 February 2026. I will observe online if needed.

2. Comments on the draft Examination Timetable (Annex D)

I request that the Examining Authority (ExA) consider the following procedural points:

a) Adequate time for Written Representations (Deadline 1 – 26 Feb 2026)

Given the complexity, volume and geographic scale of the Norwich–Tilbury project, I respectfully request that the ExA ensure that Interested Parties have **sufficient time** to prepare and assemble their Written Representations by Deadline 1. This aligns with the Rule 6 invitation for comments on how the timetable should function procedurally for fairness to participants.

b) Clarity on how land-referencing updates will be handled during the Examination

The Rule 6 letter notes that the Book of Reference will be updated at various deadlines and that the Applicant must notify newly affected persons. For procedural clarity, I request confirmation that updated land-plots and corrections will be clearly highlighted at each deadline so Interested Parties can track changes efficiently.

3. Comments on arrangements for hearings

a) Continued availability of virtual attendance

I support the use of blended and virtual formats throughout the Examination, as described in the Rule 6 letter. Virtual access ensures effective participation for those in dispersed locations along the long linear route.

b) Issue Specific Hearings – agenda clarity

The Rule 6 letter indicates that ISH1 (scope and alternatives) will be a strategic session focused on the Applicant's evidence. I request that future ISH agendas be published with clear topic delineation to allow Interested Parties to understand when their issues may become relevant, without needing to attend every hearing.

4. Site Inspection process

The Rule 6 letter explains that suggested locations for the Accompanied Site Inspection (ASI) must be submitted by Deadline 1, with justification.

I will provide my site-specific ASI request at Deadline 1 rather than at Procedural Deadline A, as it requires reference to land-impact details (which fall outside the scope of this procedural stage).

5. Communication

I request that all Examination communications continue to be made available by email and via the project webpage as set out in the Rule 6 letter, to ensure accessibility for Interested Parties throughout the process.

Summary

- I **do not** wish to speak at the PM or OFH2.
- I request **procedural clarity**, sufficient preparation time for Deadline 1, and **continued virtual access** to hearings.
- I will provide site-specific information and my Written Representation at the correct later deadlines.

Submitted by:

Mrs Pauline Lifton

Mr David Lifton

Interested Parties - [REDACTED], Little Bentley, Colchester, Essex [REDACTED]

Date of Submission: 27 January 2026